
Table of Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| Preface | V |
| List of Contributors | VII |
| Abbreviations and Acronyms | XV |

Part 1 Interpretation and Residence

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| Chapter 1: | United States: Treaty-Based Foreign Tax Credits and Limitations | 1 |
| | <i>Yariv Brauner</i> | |
| 1.1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2. | Facts of the case | 1 |
| 1.3. | The Court's decision | 2 |
| 1.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 4 |
| 1.5. | Conclusion | 6 |
| Chapter 2: | Belgium: Interpreting Article 2: A Case Study in Judicial (In)Consistency and the Belgian NAT | 7 |
| | <i>Filip Debelva, Anne Van de Vijver and Sharon Waeytens</i> | |
| 2.1. | Introduction | 7 |
| 2.2. | Facts of the case | 8 |
| 2.3. | The Court's decision | 9 |
| 2.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 12 |
| 2.5. | Conclusion | 16 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| Chapter 3: | Norway: Court of Appeal. Payment from Estonian Company to Norwegian Shareholder – Dividend or Loan? | 17 |
| | <i>Eivind Furuseth</i> | |
| 3.1. | Introduction | 17 |
| 3.2. | Facts of the case | 18 |
| 3.3. | The Court’s decision | 21 |
| 3.4. | Comments on the Court’s reasoning | 23 |
| 3.4.1. | Classification of the payment for tax treaty purposes | 23 |
| 3.4.1.1. | Introduction | 23 |
| 3.4.1.2. | Definition of “dividends” in article 10(3) | 24 |
| 3.4.1.2.1. | In general | 24 |
| 3.4.1.2.2. | Dividends or loan for tax treaty purposes | 25 |
| 3.4.1.3. | Article 21 | 29 |
| 3.4.1.4. | Reclassification of loan from company to shareholder – Norwegian Tax Act section 10 11(4) | 30 |
| 3.4.1.5. | Summary – Definition of the payment for tax treaty purposes | 32 |
| 3.4.2. | Penalty tax | 32 |
| 3.5. | Conclusion | 32 |
| Chapter 4: | Germany: Treaty Residence After Abolition of the Gift and Inheritance Tax | 35 |
| | <i>Alexander Rust</i> | |
| 4.1. | Facts of the case | 35 |
| 4.2. | The Court’s decision | 36 |
| 4.3. | Comments on the Court’s reasoning | 37 |
| 4.4. | Conclusion | 38 |

| | | |
|-------------------------|--|----|
| Chapter 5: | Croatia: Dual Residence | 39 |
| | <i>Hrvoje Arbutina</i> | |
| 5.1. | Introduction | 39 |
| 5.2. | Facts of the case | 40 |
| 5.3. | The Court's decision | 42 |
| 5.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 42 |
| 5.5. | Conclusion | 47 |
| Part 2 | | |
| Permanent Establishment | | |
| Chapter 6: | Germany: Wardrobe and Locker as a Permanent Establishment | 51 |
| | <i>Alexander Rust</i> | |
| 6.1. | Facts of the case | 51 |
| 6.2. | The Court's decision | 51 |
| 6.3. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 52 |
| 6.4. | Conclusion | 53 |
| Chapter 7: | Türkiye: Website PE Discussions Under Türkiye-Netherlands Double Tax Treaty | 55 |
| | <i>Cihat Öner</i> | |
| 7.1. | Introduction | 55 |
| 7.2. | Facts of the case | 56 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| 7.3. | The Court's decision | 58 |
| 7.3.1. | Determination of the Court as to whether the www.X.com website can be considered a PE | 59 |
| 7.3.2. | Determination of the Court as to whether the X.com Support Services can be considered a PE | 61 |
| 7.3.3. | Treaty article to be applied | 62 |
| 7.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 63 |
| 7.4.1 | Is there a website PE? | 63 |
| 7.4.2. | Can computer hardware owned and operated by the enterprise constitute a permanent establishment? | 64 |
| 7.4.3. | Is X.com Türkiye a branch? Is there a "branch" in this case? | 65 |
| 7.4.4. | Is there an agency PE? | 66 |
| 7.4.5. | Is there an authority to conclude contracts? | 68 |
| 7.5. | Conclusion | 69 |
| Chapter 8: | Poland: Warehouse PE <i>Karolina Tetlak</i> | 71 |
| 8.1. | Introduction | 71 |
| 8.2. | Facts of the case | 72 |
| 8.3. | The Court's decision | 75 |
| 8.4. | Comments on the court's reasoning | 76 |
| 8.5. | Conclusion | 83 |
| Chapter 9: | Italy: Commissionaire Arrangements and the Permanent Establishment Notion <i>Guglielmo Maisto and Cesare Silvani</i> | 85 |
| 9.1. | Introduction | 85 |
| 9.2. | Facts of the case | 85 |
| 9.3. | The Supreme Court's decisions | 88 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 9.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 89 |
| 9.4.1. | Comments on the PE issue | 89 |
| 9.4.2. | Comments on the interpretation of Italian double tax treaties and tax law PE notions | 94 |
| 9.5. | Conclusions | 94 |

Part 3

Business Profits, Dividends, Interest and Capital Gains

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| Chapter 10: | Luxembourg: Recognition of a Foreign Permanent Establishment | 99 |
| | <i>Werner Haslehner</i> | |
| 10.1. | Introduction | 99 |
| 10.2. | Legal background | 99 |
| 10.2.1. | Luxembourg law | 99 |
| 10.2.2. | Tax treaty law | 101 |
| 10.3. | Facts of the case | 102 |
| 10.3.1. | Agreed facts | 102 |
| 10.3.2. | Additional information requested and assessment by the tax administration | 102 |
| 10.3.3. | Taxpayer arguments | 103 |
| 10.4. | The Court's decision | 104 |
| 10.5. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 105 |
| 10.5.1. | General comments | 105 |
| 10.5.2. | Third-party managed branch as independent agent? | 106 |
| 10.5.3. | No taxation in the United States in the absence of effectively connected income | 107 |
| 10.5.4. | The impact of paragraph 5 of section 16 of the Tax Adaptation Law | 108 |
| 10.6. | Conclusion | 112 |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|------------|
| Chapter 11: | Japan: Holding Period Requirement Under Article 10(2)(a) of the Japan-Luxembourg Tax Convention of 1992 | 115 |
| | <i>Yasuyuki Kawabata</i> | |
| 11.1. | Introduction | 115 |
| 11.2. | Facts and circumstances of the case | 117 |
| 11.3. | Issues under the case | 121 |
| 11.4. | Arguments of the parties | 122 |
| 11.4.1. | Plaintiff/appellee | 122 |
| 11.4.1.1. | Interpretation of the treaty wording | 122 |
| 11.4.1.2. | Grounds for the plaintiff's arguments | 122 |
| 11.4.1.2.1. | Article 31(1) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties | 122 |
| 11.4.1.2.2. | Ordinary meaning of the terms of the treaty | 122 |
| 11.4.1.2.3. | The context in article 31(2) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties | 123 |
| 11.4.1.2.4. | Purpose and objective | 124 |
| 11.4.1.2.5. | Plaintiff's conclusion | 125 |
| 11.4.1.3. | Refutations against the defendant's arguments | 126 |
| 11.4.2. | Defendant/appellant | 126 |
| 11.4.2.1. | Main argument | 126 |
| 11.4.2.2. | Basis for the defendant's interpretation | 126 |
| 11.4.2.3. | Refutations against plaintiff's arguments | 128 |
| 11.5. | Holdings of the Courts | 129 |
| 11.5.1. | Tokyo District Court | 129 |
| 11.5.1.1. | Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties | 129 |
| 11.5.1.2. | Regarding the meaning of the term at issue under Japanese law | 130 |
| 11.5.1.3. | The ordinary meaning of the term given in light of their purpose and intent | 130 |
| 11.5.1.3.1. | Purpose and objectives | 130 |
| 11.5.1.3.1.1. | Purpose of JPN/LUX Treaty | 130 |
| 11.5.1.3.1.2. | Purpose of article 10 of the Treaty | 130 |
| 11.5.1.3.1.3. | Purpose of article 10(2)(a) of the Treaty | 131 |
| 11.5.1.3.1.4. | Purpose of the holding period requirements | 131 |
| 11.5.1.3.2. | Ordinary meaning of the term | 131 |
| 11.5.1.4. | Loose end | 132 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| 11.5.1.5. | Conclusion of the District Court | 132 |
| 11.5.2. | Tokyo High Court | 133 |
| 11.5.2.1. | Additional arguments of appellant/defendant | 133 |
| 11.5.2.2. | Additional arguments of appellee/plaintiff | 134 |
| 11.5.2.2.1. | Interpretation of the treaty wordings | 134 |
| 11.5.2.3. | Tokyo High Court | 134 |
| 11.5.2.3.1. | Holding <i>affirmed</i> judgment of the Tokyo District Court | 134 |
| 11.6. | Comments on the Courts' reasoning | 136 |
| 11.6.1. | Corporate reorganization under the Company Act and the Corporate Tax Act | 136 |
| 11.6.2. | Deemed business year | 137 |
| 11.6.3. | Public notice by the National Tax Agency | 138 |
| 11.7. | Discussions of article 3(2) of the Japan/Luxembourg Treaty and articles 31 and 32 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties | 141 |
| 11.7.1. | Regarding the Tokyo District Court | 141 |
| 11.7.2. | Regarding the Tokyo High Court | 143 |
| 11.8. | Conclusion | 144 |
| 11.8.1. | Summary of the judgments of District and High Courts | 144 |
| Chapter 12: | Portugal: Can Payments Under Interest Rate Swaps be “Interest” After All? | 145 |
| | <i>Dinis Tracana and Rita Medalho</i> | |
| 12.1. | Introduction | 145 |
| 12.2. | Facts of the case | 145 |
| 12.2.1. | Applicable tax framework | 145 |
| 12.2.2. | Factual background | 146 |
| 12.3. | The Court's decision | 148 |
| 12.3.1. | The Court's decision on the inflation rate swap agreement | 148 |
| 12.3.2. | The Court's decision on the interest rate swap agreements | 149 |
| 12.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 151 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----|
| 12.4.1. | Relevance of the decision | 151 |
| 12.4.2. | Ambulatory approach in the reference to domestic law | 151 |
| 12.4.3. | “Other income assimilated to income from money lent” – What is the keystone after all? | 152 |
| 12.5. | Conclusion | 155 |
| | | |
| Part 4 Beneficial Ownership | | |
| Chapter 13: | France: Interpretation of the Law and the Concept of Beneficial Ownership <i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i> | 159 |
| 13.1. | Introduction | 159 |
| 13.2. | Facts of the case | 159 |
| 13.3. | The Court’s decision | 161 |
| 13.3.1. | The legal framework | 161 |
| 13.3.2. | The merits of the case | 162 |
| 13.4. | Comments on the Court’s reasoning | 162 |
| 13.4.1. | Interpretation of the notion of beneficial ownership in domestic tax law | 163 |
| 13.4.2. | Beneficial ownership and anti-abuse clauses | 164 |
| 13.4.3. | Difference in approach adopted in international and European tax law | 166 |
| 13.5. | Conclusion | 167 |
| Chapter 14: | Canada: <i>Husky Energy Inc. v. The King</i> <i>David G. Duff</i> | 169 |
| 14.1. | Introduction | 169 |
| 14.2. | Facts of the case | 170 |
| 14.2.1. | Appellants | 170 |
| 14.2.2. | Transactions | 170 |
| 14.2.3. | Tax consequences in Luxembourg and Barbados | 172 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| 14.2.4. | Assessment and the Minister's arguments | 172 |
| 14.2.5. | Appellants' arguments | 173 |
| 14.3. | The Court's decision | 174 |
| 14.3.1. | Non-resident withholding tax | 174 |
| 14.3.1.1. | HWLH and LFMI | 175 |
| 14.3.1.2. | Husky | 176 |
| 14.3.2. | General anti-avoidance rule | 179 |
| 14.3.2.1. | Tax benefit | 180 |
| 14.3.2.2. | Purpose of transactions | 180 |
| 14.3.2.3. | Misuse or abuse | 181 |
| 14.3.2.3.1. | Barbados Treaty | 183 |
| 14.3.2.3.2. | Luxembourg Treaty | 183 |
| 14.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 184 |
| 14.4.1. | Domestic law | 184 |
| 14.4.2. | Beneficial ownership | 186 |
| 14.4.3. | General anti-avoidance rule | 187 |
| 14.5. | Conclusion | 189 |
| Chapter 15: | Denmark: Beneficial Ownership | 191 |
| | <i>Søren Friis Hansen</i> | |
| 15.1. | Introduction | 191 |
| 15.1.1. | The Danish beneficial ownership cases | 191 |
| 15.1.2. | Abuse of EU law and beneficial ownership | 193 |
| 15.2. | Facts of the case | 194 |
| 15.3. | The Court's decision | 195 |
| 15.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 197 |
| Chapter 16: | Portugal: Righting the Ship on the Concept of Beneficial Owner | 199 |
| | <i>Dinis Tracana and Rita Medalho</i> | |
| 16.1. | Introduction | 199 |
| 16.2. | Facts of the case | 199 |
| 16.2.1. | Applicable tax framework | 199 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| 16.2.2. | Factual background | 200 |
| 16.3. | The Court's decision | 202 |
| 16.3.1. | On the concept of beneficial ownership | 203 |
| 16.3.2. | Reliance on the Portugal-United Kingdom Conventions for the Avoidance of Double Taxation | 205 |
| 16.3.3. | Reliance on the Portugal-Hong Kong Conventions for the Avoidance of Double Taxation | 205 |
| 16.3.4. | Breach of the principles of legal certainty and proportionality, and the right to private property | 206 |
| 16.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 207 |
| 16.4.1. | Relevance of the decision | 207 |
| 16.4.2. | The nature and scope of "beneficial ownership" | 207 |
| 16.4.3. | The subject to tax requirement found in article 11 (2) of the Portugal-UK Convention for the Avoidance of Double Taxation | 209 |
| 16.4.4. | An implicit beneficial ownership requirement in Conventions on the Avoidance of Double Taxation? | 211 |
| 16.4.5. | A look-through approach towards the beneficial owner? | 213 |
| 16.5. | Conclusion | 213 |
| Chapter 17: | Beneficial Ownership and the Intermediary Status of the Person Russia: Ruling of the Russian Federation Supreme Court №305-ЭС23-3710 of 6 October 2023, Case №A40-121109/2022 XANGBO (Singapore) & UBRIR Bank (Russia) v. Russian Tax Authorities) | 215 |
| | <i>Danil Vinnitsiy</i> | |
| 17.1. | Introduction | 215 |
| 17.2. | Facts of the case | 216 |
| 17.2.1. | Key facts of the case | 216 |
| 17.2.2. | Scope of tax treaty interpretation in the light of the relevant facts | 216 |
| 17.3. | Legal positions of the courts | 217 |

| | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 17.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 218 |
| 17.5. | Conclusion | 219 |

Part 5

Income from Immovable Property and Royalties

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| Chapter 18: | United Kingdom: <i>Royal Bank of Canada v. The Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs</i> <i>Philip Baker</i> | 223 |
|--------------------|--|-----|

| | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 18.1. | Introduction | 223 |
| 18.2. | Facts of the case | 223 |
| 18.3. | The provisions of the Convention | 225 |
| 18.4. | The Court's decision | 226 |
| 18.5. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 229 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| Chapter 19: | Australia: Unpriced Intellectual Property Rights and Article 12 of the Australia/United States Tax Treaty <i>Michael Dirkis</i> | 231 |
|--------------------|---|-----|

| | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 19.1. | Introduction | 231 |
| 19.2. | Facts of the case | 232 |
| 19.3. | The Court's decision | 234 |
| 19.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 239 |
| 19.5. | Conclusion | 240 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| Chapter 20: | Poland: Compensation For the Use of a Trademark Included in the Price of Goods Constitutes Royalties | 243 |
| | <i>Karolina Tetlak</i> | |
| 20.1. | Introduction | 243 |
| 20.2. | Facts of the case | 243 |
| 20.3. | The Court's decision | 246 |
| 20.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 248 |
| 20.5. | Conclusion | 251 |
| Chapter 21: | Brazil: Motorola Case: Transfer of Technology Under Technical Services | 253 |
| | <i>Luís Eduardo Schoueri and Jonathan Malaquias</i> | |
| 21.1. | Introduction | 253 |
| 21.2. | Facts of the case | 254 |
| 21.3. | The Court's decision | 256 |
| 21.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 257 |
| 21.5. | Conclusion | 260 |
| Chapter 22: | Brazil: The <i>Engecorps</i> Case: The Wording of Protocols on Technical Services | 263 |
| | <i>Luís Eduardo Schoueri and Jonathan Malaquias</i> | |
| 22.1. | Introduction | 263 |
| 22.2. | Facts of the case | 264 |
| 22.3. | The Court's decision | 267 |
| 22.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 267 |
| 22.5. | Conclusion | 269 |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| Chapter 23: | Greece: Fees for Services Related to Software | 271 |
| | <i>Katerina Perrou</i> | |
| 23.1. | Introduction | 271 |
| 23.2. | Facts of the case | 273 |
| 23.3. | The Court's decision | 276 |
| 23.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 276 |
| 23.5. | Conclusion | 278 |

Part 6

Employment Income, Directors' Fees, Government
Services and Other Income

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| Chapter 24: | Switzerland: Severance Compensation in International Football and the Role of Amendments to the OECD Commentaries | 281 |
| | <i>Michael Beusch and Moritz Seiler</i> | |
| 24.1. | Introduction | 281 |
| 24.2. | Facts of the case | 281 |
| 24.3. | The Court's decision | 283 |
| 24.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 287 |
| 24.5. | Conclusion | 292 |
| Chapter 25: | Netherlands: Dutch Supreme Court on Tax Treaty Timing Issues, Interpretation of "Director", and Salary Split | 295 |
| | <i>Mart van Hulten</i> | |
| 25.1. | Introduction | 295 |
| 25.2. | Facts of the case | 295 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| 25.3. | The Court's decision | 297 |
| 25.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 299 |
| 25.4.1. | Timing issue of tax treaties | 299 |
| 25.4.2. | Interpretation: "member of the board of directors" | 302 |
| 25.4.3. | Salary split | 304 |
| 25.5. | Conclusion | 305 |
| Chapter 26: | Austria: Pensions of Public Employees and of Their Dependents Under the DTC Austria-Switzerland in Recent Case Law | 307 |
| | <i>Michael Lang</i> | |
| 26.1. | Facts of the different cases | 307 |
| 26.2. | The applicability of article 19 of the Double Taxation Convention of Austria-Switzerland | 307 |
| 26.2.1. | Payment by "Old Age and Survivors' Insurance" | 307 |
| 26.2.2. | The division between article 18 and article 19 of the Double Taxation Convention | 309 |
| 26.2.3. | Widows' pensions and article 19 of the Double Taxation Convention | 309 |
| 26.3. | Questions of Double Taxation Convention interpretation | 312 |
| 26.3.1. | "Qualification chaining"? | 312 |
| 26.3.2. | Relevance of mutual agreements | 313 |
| 26.3.3. | Subsequent practice | 316 |
| 26.4. | Summary | 318 |
| Chapter 27: | Spain: Inheritance Acquisition of Real Estate by a Non-Resident Foundation: Capital Gain or Other Income? | 319 |
| | <i>Ricardo García Antón</i> | |
| 27.1. | Introduction | 319 |
| 27.2. | Facts of the case and tax authorities' position | 320 |
| 27.3. | The Court's decision | 321 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 27.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 322 |
| 27.4.1. | The Role of the OECD Commentaries | 322 |
| 27.4.2. | Inheritance acquisition of real estate and article 13 of the OECD Model Convention | 323 |
| 27.4.3. | A necessary reform of article 21 of the OECD Model Convention for real estate acquisitions | 325 |
| 27.5. | Conclusions | 327 |

Part 7

Relief from Double Taxation

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| Chapter 28: | Italy: Treaty Tax Credit against the Italian Regional Tax on Productive Activities Supreme Court, Case no. 21047 of 18 July 2023 | 331 |
| | <i>Guglielmo Maisto and Cesare Silvani</i> | |
| 28.1. | Introduction | 331 |
| 28.2. | Facts of the case | 332 |
| 28.2.1. | A brief description of IRAP | 332 |
| 28.2.2. | The case examined by the Supreme Court | 333 |
| 28.3. | The Supreme Court's decision | 335 |
| 28.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 337 |
| 28.4.1. | Comments on the Court's legal reasoning | 337 |
| 28.4.2. | The importance of the decision in practice | 340 |
| 28.5. | Conclusions | 342 |
| Chapter 29: | Belgium: Judge-Made International Tax Loopholes | 343 |
| | <i>Sharon Waeytens, Tarcísio Diniz Magalhães and Anne Van de Vijver</i> | |
| 29.1. | Introduction | 343 |
| 29.2. | Facts of the case | 344 |
| 29.3. | The Court's decisions | 345 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|--------------------|---|------------|
| 29.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 347 |
| 29.5. | Conclusion | 350 |
| Chapter 30: | Sweden: Credit for Tax: Questions of Same Income, Application of Different Treaty Articles and Timing Mismatches | 351 |
| | <i>Katia Cejic</i> | |
| 30.1. | Introduction | 351 |
| 30.2. | Facts of the case | 352 |
| 30.3. | The Court's decision | 353 |
| 30.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 355 |
| 30.5. | Conclusion | 356 |
| Chapter 31: | India: Interpretation of "Incentive for Promotion of Economic Development" for granting tax sparing credit | 359 |
| | <i>D. P. Sengupta</i> | |
| 31.1. | Introduction | 359 |
| 31.2. | The treaty provision | 361 |
| 31.3. | Facts of the case | 362 |
| 31.3.1. | Taxation of dividends under Omani domestic law | 363 |
| 31.3.2. | The interpretation of the provision by the Revenue Authority | 364 |
| 31.3.3. | Arguments | 366 |
| 31.4. | The Court's decision | 367 |
| 31.4.1. | Income tax appellate tribunal | 367 |
| 31.4.2. | The High Court | 368 |
| 31.4.2.1. | Arguments | 368 |
| 31.4.2.2. | High Court decision | 370 |
| 31.4.3. | The Supreme Court | 372 |
| 31.4.3.1. | Arguments | 372 |
| 31.4.3.2. | The Supreme Court decision | 373 |

| | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 31.5. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 374 |
| 31.6. | Conclusion | 375 |

Part 8

Assistance in Tax Collection and Abuse of Tax Treaties

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| Chapter 32: | United States: Application of Exchange of Information Provisions to Non-Residents | 379 |
| | <i>Yariv Brauner</i> | |
| 32.1. | Introduction | 379 |
| 32.2. | Facts of the case | 379 |
| 32.3. | The Court's decision | 381 |
| 32.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 382 |
| 32.5. | Conclusion | 382 |
| Chapter 33: | France: Abuse of Rights, Abnormal Acts of Management and Qualification of Income under the Franco-German Treaty | 383 |
| | <i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i> | |
| 33.1. | Introduction | 383 |
| 33.2. | Facts of the case | 383 |
| 33.3. | The Court's decision | 385 |
| 33.3.1. | Censure of the appeal judges' reasoning | 385 |
| 33.3.2. | Settlement of the case on the merits | 386 |
| 33.4. | Comments on the Court's reasoning | 387 |
| 33.4.1. | Distinction between abuse of rights and artificial arrangements | 388 |
| 33.4.2. | On the assessment of abnormal management acts | 389 |
| 33.4.3. | The hybrid nature of the sale-leaseback contract | 390 |
| 33.5. | Conclusion | 391 |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|------------|
| Chapter 34: | Argentina: Navigating Treaty Abuse: <i>Praxair SRL v. DGI</i> – Exploring General Anti-Abuse Rules and Holding Company Dynamics | 393 |
| | <i>Mirna Solange</i> | |
| 34.1. | Introduction | 393 |
| 34.2. | Facts of the case | 394 |
| 34.3. | Arguments of the tax authorities | 395 |
| 34.4. | Arguments of the taxpayer | 396 |
| 34.5. | The Court’s decision | 396 |
| 34.6. | Comments on the Court’s reasoning | 399 |
| 34.6.1. | The importance of Supreme Court jurisprudence | 399 |
| 34.6.2. | General anti-abuse rules in tax treaty context | 400 |
| 34.6.3. | The new Argentina-Spain tax treaty (2013) | 401 |
| 34.7. | Conclusion | 403 |
| Chapter 35: | Peru: Applying BEPS Anti-Avoidance Rules and Principles to a Relocation for Share Sales in a Pre-BEPS Context | 405 |
| | <i>Esteban A. Montenegro Guillinta</i> | |
| 35.1. | Introduction | 405 |
| 35.2. | Facts of the case | 406 |
| 35.3. | The Court’s decision | 409 |
| 35.4. | Comments on the Court’s reasoning | 410 |
| 35.5. | Conclusion | 420 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| Chapter 36: | Spain: Anti-Avoidance Rules and Mutual Agreement Procedure: “Carbon Holding” Revisited by Supreme Court in <i>Iniciativas Culturales</i> | 423 |
| | <i>Adolfo Martín Jiménez</i> | |
| 36.1. | Introduction | 423 |
| 36.2. | The facts | 425 |
| 36.3. | The judgment of the Audiencia Nacional appealed in cassation | 425 |
| 36.4. | The judgement of the Supreme Court in cassation in <i>Iniciativas Culturales</i> | 427 |
| 36.4.1. | The order of the Supreme Court admitting the appeal | 427 |
| 36.4.2. | The position of Spain and the taxpayer | 427 |
| 36.4.3. | The Supreme Court judgment in <i>Iniciativas Culturales</i> | 429 |
| 36.5. | Commentary on the Supreme Court decision | 431 |
| 36.5.1. | Introduction | 431 |
| 36.5.2. | Will <i>Iniciativas Culturales</i> also apply after the reform of the domestic MAP Regulation of 2021 to adapt it to BEPS Action 14? | 431 |
| 36.5.2. | The re-interpretation of <i>Carbon Holding</i> in <i>Iniciativas Culturales: a breach of international law?</i> | 433 |
| 36.5.3. | The problems of <i>Iniciativas Culturales</i> from the perspective of the evolution of the Commentaries to the OECD Model Convention | 436 |
| 36.6. | Final conclusion | 437 |